Structural Features and Chaperone Activity of the NudC Protein Family
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The NudC family consists of four conserved proteins with representatives in all eukaryotes. The archetypal nudC gene from Aspergillus nidulans is a member of the nud gene family that is involved in the maintenance of nuclear migration. This family also includes nudF, whose human orthologue, Lis1, codes for a protein essential for brain cortex development. Three paralogues of NudC are known in vertebrates: NudC, NudC-like (NudCL), and NudC-like 2 (NudCL2). The fourth distantly related member of the family, CML66, contains a NudC-like domain. The three principal NudC proteins have no catalytic activity but appear to play as yet poorly defined roles in proliferating and dividing cells. We present crystallographic and NMR studies of the human NudC protein and discuss the results in the context of structures recently deposited by structural genomics centers (i.e., NudCL and mouse NudCL2). All proteins share the same core CS domain characteristic of proteins acting either as cochaperones of Hsp90 or as independent small heat shock proteins. However, while NudC and NudCL dimerize via an N-terminally located coiled coil, the smaller NudCL2 lacks this motif and instead dimerizes as a result of unique domain swapping. We show that NudC and NudCL, but not NudCL2, inhibit the aggregation of several target proteins, consistent with an Hsp90-independent heat shock protein function. Importantly, and in contrast to several previous reports, none of the three proteins is able to form binary complexes with Lis1. The availability of structural information will be of help in further studies on the cellular functions of the NudC family.
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Introduction

The nud (nuclear distribution) gene family was originally identified in the filamentous fungus Aspergillus nidulans (or Emericella nidulans in its sexual form) as a set of genes associated with dynein-dependent nuclear migration. In a healthy organism, the nuclei migrate towards the growing tip of hyphae during vegetative growth, whereas in mutants with impaired nud genes, the nuclei are clustered together following karyokinesis and are unable to undergo translocation. The nud family became a focus of intense interest when it was discovered that one of its members, nudF, encoded a close homologue (42% amino acid sequence identity) of the mammalian Lis1 protein, which is mutated in a debilitating developmental genetic syndrome known as Miller–Dieker lissencephaly. In afflicted individuals, the brain cortex is smooth (without typical groves or sulci) because the layer structure is partly or wholly disrupted due to the inability of young neurons to migrate from the ventricular zone to their target destinations. Thus, there has been considerable speculation that the nuclear migration pathway observed in Aspergillus has been evolutionarily conserved and acquired a new function in fetal brain development.

As expected, some of the nud genes code for the components of cytoplasmic motor dynein and dynactin, which are directly responsible for the translocation of the nucleus along microtubules. Three nud genes do not belong to this group: nudF, nudE, and nudC. As already stated, the 45-kDa NudF is a homologue of the mammalian Lis1 protein, which is now known to be a dynein regulator and a component of the brain isoform of the platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase II. The NudE protein is represented in mammalian genomes by two paralogues, NudE and NudEL, currently renamed Nde1 and Nde1. Each protein, just over 300 residues in length, contains a conserved 160-residue-long parallel coiled-coil domain at the N-terminus, which binds the homodimeric Lis1. The details of how this complex interacts with and regulates dynein are still being debated on, but recent data suggest that Lis1 alone, or with Nde1/Nde1, induces a persistent force state in dynein.

Of all the nud gene products, NudC has been the most elusive with respect to function. Deletion of the nudC gene in Aspergillus produces a severe pheno-type with a much thicker cell wall compared to wild type. Recently published data suggest that NudC and NudF form a complex in the fungus that is essential for the proper functioning of spindle pole bodies. Orthologues of NudC have been identified in higher eukaryotes, including Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila melanogaster, amphibians (newt), and mammals. In most—if not all—metazoa, three paralogues are found: hNudC, hNudC-like (NudCL, also annotated as NudC domain containing protein 3), and hNudC-like 2 (NudCL2, or NudC domain containing protein 2). The lengths of polypeptide chains differ among the three, but they all contain a single globular domain with significant amino acid sequence conservation. A similar domain is also found in the fourth member of this family, NudCD1 (NudC domain containing protein 1). This enigmatic protein (also known as CML66) is a tumor antigen that has been implicated in stimulating tumor cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis, but its function is unknown.

The physiological functions of the mammalian NudC paralogues are far from understood. The vast majority of the reported research focused on NudC. It is expressed in all tissues, both in the fetus and in the adult organism, but at a particularly high level in the cell lines and proliferating cells of normal tissues, indicating a possible role in cell division. Indeed, downregulation of human NudC mRNA results in impairment of both cell proliferation and mitotic spindle formation. There is also evidence that NudC is involved in cytokinesis in a phosphorylation-dependent fashion. As might be expected, a protein involved in mitotic cell division is also found to play a role in cancer. For example, there is an inverse correlation between NudC expression and nodal metastasis in esophageal cancer, and an adenovirus expressing NudC was able to inhibit the growth of prostate tumors by blocking cell division. In apparent contrast, NudC was identified as one of the overexpressed genes in cutaneous T-cell lymphomas and was found to be expressed in neuroectodermal tumors, but not in nonneoplastic brain tissues. Moreover, high expression levels were associated with cells infiltrating white matter or undergoing division.

Unfortunately, the details of the specific molecular mechanisms in which NudC is involved are very elusive. Several reports implicate NudC in direct interactions with Lis1, others suggest interactions with kinesin-1 and polo-like kinase. A recent study lists 131 (sic) proteins identified by mass spectrometry as potential binding partners of NudC. Furthermore, there is evidence that NudC may function as a chaperone, effectively stabilizing its target proteins or enhancing folding. This potentially includes both the Hsp90-dependent pathway and direct chaperone activity. Finally, there is a set of studies exploring the hypothesis that NudC is a secreted protein that functions by binding to the extracellular domain of the thrombopoietin receptor.

The remaining two paralogues, NudCL and NudCL2, were discovered only recently and are just beginning to attract attention. So far, NudCL has been implicated in enhancing the stability of the dynein intermediate chain, while NudCL2 has...
been implicated in stabilizing Lis1 through the Hsp90 pathway. An exhaustive review of nudC-like genes has appeared recently.

To better understand structure–function relationships in the NudC family, we undertook a systematic structural characterization of hNudC using heteronuclear NMR and X-ray crystallography. While this work was in progress, several structures of NudC homologues, or their fragments, were deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) by structural genomics centers. In this article, we take advantage of this novel information and present an overview of the structural features of the NudC family. Furthermore, we revisit the question on the interactions of NudC proteins with Lis1 and their intrinsic chaperone activities.

Results and Discussion

The amino acid sequence features of NudC family proteins: An overview

A number of important features relevant to the molecular architecture of the NudC protein family can be inferred from amino acid sequence comparisons (Fig. 1; Supplementary Fig. 1).

The prototypical member of the NudC family, the A. nidulans protein, contains 198 amino acids. The first 30 amino acids show a propensity to form coiled coil, as inferred from sequence-based prediction. It has been predicted that a single globular domain, with apparent similarity to p23 and other small heat shock proteins, spans residues 36–116. Downstream of this domain, secondary structure prediction strongly suggests the presence of two α-helices: one spanning residues 147–164 and one spanning residues 171–185. This architecture is conserved among known homologues from other filamentous fungi, including Neosartorya, Penicillium, Ajellomyces, and so on. The nudC gene is stringently conserved in all of Eukaryota, including protozoans (Trypanosoma, Chlorella, Chlamydomonas, etc.), invertebrates (C. elegans, Drosophila, Anopheles, etc.), plants (Populus, Ricinus, Zea, Arabidopsis, etc.), and vertebrates (zebra fish, salmon, newt, African clawed frog, chicken, dog, mouse, human, etc.). The only significant difference is that the N-terminal portion in higher eukaryotes is longer than that in fungi. In plants (e.g., Zea mays), it is ~135 residues long, with pronounced propensity for a coiled coil within the 60–130 fragment. Among metazoans, it is 150–160 residues in length, and the predicted coiled-coil element (residues 60–135) is separated from the globular domain by a 20-residue linker. Interestingly, the most conserved elements are outside the globular domain; in vertebrates, a stretch from residue 10 through residue 43 (human NudC numbering) is nearly fully conserved between a range of species, as is the C-terminal fragment downstream of the p23-like domain.

A homologous gene coding for the NudCL protein has so far been identified only in the animal
kingdom and appears as low on the evolutionary ladder as Platyhelminthes (flatworms), but is then found all the way up to humans. The sequence features of the NudCL protein, which is typically slightly longer than NudC, are very similar, except that the putative coiled coil spans fewer residues (residues 75–99). There is also strong conservation in the NudCL subfamily; in vertebrates, there are three nearly completely conserved fragments: one at the N-terminus (residues 10–58) and two within the predicted α-helices at the C-terminus. However, these fragments differ significantly between the NudC subfamily and the NudCL subfamily: there is only \(\sim 30\%\) amino acid identity within the N-terminal fragment between human NudC and NudCL, and \(\sim 25\%\) identity between the C-terminal α-helices in the two proteins.

The third of the nudC family of genes, the NudCL2-coding gene, appears to be ubiquitous in all eukaryotes. It is different from both NudC and NudCL in that it lacks the entire N-terminal extension, while the C-terminal fragment downstream of the putative p23-like domain, about 50 residues in length, contains a single predicted α-helix (residues 110–130 in the human sequence). There is only limited amino acid similarity between the globular domain of NudCL2 and either NudC or NudCL, with 15–20% amino acid identity; the C-terminal portion, including the predicted helix, which is stringently conserved with the NudCL2 subfamily, bears no resemblance to the corresponding fragments in either NudC or NudCL.

The fourth distant relative is NudCD1, which appears to be a product of gene shuffling. The protein is found throughout Metazoa, including Trichoplax adhaerens, the sole member of the phylum Placozoa and the simplest known metazoan with only 11,514 protein coding genes. Among vertebrates, it is highly conserved and occurs as two splicing variants 583 and 554 amino acids in length. Aside from the NudC-like domain in the center of the polypeptide chain, the remainder of the sequence does not resemble any known protein family. However, we used 3D-Jury\(^{34,35}\) to predict the tertiary folds of the N-terminal and C-terminal regions, and we obtained results strongly suggesting that both regions contain partial β-propeller folds. Based on these results, it seems possible that the NudC-like domain has been inserted into a split canonical seven-blade β-propeller. The functional consequences of this unusual architecture cannot be predicted. Because this protein is only distantly related to the three principal paralogues, it was not a subject of our experimental investigation.

**Identifying the boundaries of folded globular domain in hNudC**

Although sequence analysis suggested that the globular domain in hNudC is limited to \(\sim 80\) amino acids, a high level of sequence conservation extending into the C-terminus prompted us to investigate the actual structural boundaries of the globular domain using heteronuclear NMR spectroscopy. Samples of \(^{15}\)N-labeled variants of different lengths were prepared, and heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC) spectra were recorded (Fig. 2). The fragment encompassing residues 143–331...

**Fig. 2.** NMR two-dimensional HSQC spectra recorded for three different variants of hNudC: (a) hNudC\(^{143–331}\), (b) hNudC\(^{158–331}\), and (c) hNudC\(^{198–274}\).
showed a significant number of poorly dispersed resonances, suggesting lack of secondary structure in a significant portion of the protein. Screening of variants with truncations at both ends ultimately yielded one variant encompassing residues 158–274, which showed a very well-dispersed spectrum, with no indication of disorder. Further truncations (e.g., residues 162–274) were accompanied by chemical shift changes in the core resonances, suggesting structural rearrangements (data not shown), and were not pursued any further.

The crystal structure of the CS domain of hNudC

The wild-type recombinant 158–274 fragment did not yield single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction experiments. Therefore, we decided to apply the surface entropy reduction protocol66–69 to obtain variants with enhanced crystallizability. Of the five variants tested, a double mutant, E236A,K239A, yielded good-quality crystals, and the structure was solved by multiwavelength anomalous diffraction phasing using selenomethionine (SeMet)-labeled protein. The atomic model was refined using data extending to 1.75 Å resolution. Crystallographic details are shown in Table 1.

The asymmetric unit of the crystal contains five independent copies of the molecule. In each case, there is interpretable electron density for all but the last one to two residues. The core of the molecule is formed by an all-antiparallel \( \beta \)-sandwich, with the larger sheet made up of strands 1, 2, 3, 8, and 7, and with the opposite smaller sheet made up of strands 4, 5, and 6. These strands are the only well-defined secondary structure elements within the domain, with \( \sim 60\% \) of the residues occurring in loops, a 10-residue-long N-terminal extension, and a 29-residue-long C-terminal fragment (Fig. 3a). All five copies superpose very well (with pairwise r.m.s. differences of 0.1–0.3 Å between C\( ^{\alpha} \) positions), indicating that this tertiary fold is not influenced by crystal-packing forces and faithfully represents the structure of the protein in solution.

In general terms, the core of the molecule shares the tertiary fold with the Hsp90 cochaperone p23,60 the Hsp20 chaperone, and \( \alpha \)-crystallin core domains,61 although there are differences in the loop structures, as well as in the exact numbers and lengths of the \( \beta \)-strands (Fig. 3c–e). As indicated by Dali62,63 A, the crystal structure of the hNudC core domain is most similar to the CHORD-Sgt1 (CS) domain of the Arabidopsis thaliana Sgt1a protein (Z score = 11.4; r.m.s.d. = 1.7 Å) even though amino acid sequence identity is only 13% (Fig. 3b). The Sgt1 protein, which is found in fungi, plants, and animals, is a cochaperone of Hsp90 and appears to play an important role in innate immunity.64,65 Its 90-residue-long CS domain is smaller than the core
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hNudC domain in that it lacks the N-terminal fragment, including the first \( \beta \)-strand, while its C-terminal fragment is shorter. There are also distinct similarities to the core domains of Sba1 (another Hsp90 cochaperone)66 and Shq1p (an essential H/ACA ribonucleoparticle assembly protein whose function is unclear), although it is known to be independent of Hsp90.67

While the Pfam database68,69 classifies the Hsp20/\( \alpha \)-crystallin proteins separately (Hsp20 or PF00011), all other sequences are grouped together as the CS (PF04969) family, which currently contains over 1150 sequences. Among them is the functionally
studied—but not structurally characterized—CS domain of the Siah1-interacting protein. We will refer henceforth to the core domains of all NudC proteins as CS domains.

The conserved C-terminal fragment of hNudC

Our initial NMR experiments strongly suggested that the C-terminal fragment of hNudC (i.e., downstream of residue 274) is not a part of the CS domain, even though it shows stringent amino acid sequence conservation within the entire NudC subfamily. This is unexpected because, typically, such sequence conservation occurs within folded domains. Therefore, we decided to explore in more depth the question on the intrinsic structure of the C-terminus. In order to identify resonances corresponding to residues within the C-terminal fragment, we compared the HSQC spectra for hNudC$^{158-331}$ and hNudC$^{158-274}$ (Fig. 2b and c) and found 47 additional peaks for the longer construct, but with poor chemical shift dispersion. To ascertain whether the C-terminal fragment has any defined tertiary structure in solution, we measured $^{15}$N{H} heteronuclear nuclear Overhauser enhancements (NOEs) for NudC$^{158-331}$. Interestingly, the vast majority of residues in the C-terminal region show positive heteronuclear NOEs with an average value of 0.70±0.16, which strongly indicates that this fragment adopts some ordered structure. The very limited chemical shift dispersion observed in the HSQC spectra is consistent with the presence of an $\alpha$-helical structure, in agreement with secondary structure prediction. We then asked if the C-terminal fragment interacts with the CS domain. A comparison of the HSQC spectra for hNudC$^{158-331}$ and hNudC$^{158-274}$ revealed that truncation of the C-terminus results in marginally small differences for the resonances within the CS domain. This strongly indicates that there are no significant contacts between the C-terminal $\alpha$-helical fragment and the CS domain. The Structure of NudC-Family Proteins
domain; therefore, the C-terminal α-helix is free to tumble independently in solution.

**The structure of CS domains in other NudC family proteins**

While this work was in progress, a search of the PDB revealed several coordinate sets deposited for NudC family proteins by structural genomics centers.

The crystal structure of the protozoan *E. cuniculi* NudC homologue (PDB ID: 2O30) represents the shortest known NudC protein, essentially containing only the CS domain. A BLAST search shows that the sequence of this protein is most similar to plant homologues of NudC (39% amino acid identity to *Z. mays*), while it is most similar to hNudCL (29% identity) among human proteins. The CS domain of *E. cuniculi* NudC lacks the N-terminal first strand, and the loop structure makes it more similar to p23 (Fig. 4a).

There is no known crystal structure of the CS domain from NudCL, but there are two solution (NMR) structures (PDB IDs: 1WGV and 2CR0) of the fragments of the human and mouse proteins, respectively, which reveal a tertiary fold that is virtually identical, within experimental limits, to that seen in our crystal structure of the CS domain of hNudC (Fig. 4b).

The crystal structure of the full-length mouse NudCL2 (mNudCL2; PDB ID: 2RH0) shows several unexpected features. The core of the CS domain appears deceptively similar to that of hNudC, except that, downstream of the β8 strand (the last

---

**Fig. 4.** The structures of CS domains from various NudC family proteins. In all figures, the core β-strands are shown in red, and the N-terminal strand is shown in yellow. (a) The crystal structure of the CS domain from *E. cuniculi* (PDB ID: 2O30; this structure was determined at 1.7 Å and refined to R/R_free of 0.217/0.256). (b) NMR structure (best of 20 conformers) of the 176–286 fragment of the CS domain from human NudCL (PDB ID: 1WGV). (c) The crystal structure of the full-length mouse NudCL2 (PDB ID: 2RH0; resolution, 1.95 Å; R/R_free 0.192/0.235); only one ‘monomer’ is shown. The red and green fragments originate from two different molecules in the asymmetric unit. (d) Complete domain-swapped homodimer of NudCL2. The two molecules are depicted in red and green, respectively.
strand in the β-sandwich), there is a short antiparallel β-hairpin and a six-turn α-helix (Fig. 4c). Thus, unlike in NudC, the C-terminal fragment is a part of the globular entity. On closer inspection, the β8 strand and the downstream secondary structure elements originate from the neighboring monomer, creating a domain-swapped homodimer (Fig. 4d). The two C-terminal α-helices, one from each monomer, are aligned in this dimer in an antiparallel fashion and form a part of an intimate dimer interface.

An intriguing aspect of the mNudCL2 crystal structure is the assembly of the dimers into a filament by virtue of a noncrystallographic 2-fold screw axis, which is parallel with the crystallographic a-axis (Fig. 5). This filament is formed exclusively by the exposed faces of the two antiparallel α-helices (unique to NudCL2), which at each end contain a cluster of solvent-exposed hydrophobic residues: Trp113, Phe127, Phe134, and Phe136 (nearly 1100 Å² per dimer). The clusters from one dimer interlock with the dimers shifted in each direction by half of a molecule’s length. The interface-forming exposed hydrophobic residues in the C-terminal helix are among the most conserved amino acids in the known NudCL2 amino acid sequences. This strongly suggests that the surface patch mediating this crystal contact may be biologically relevant and may be involved in hitherto unidentified protein–protein interactions.

**Oligomeric state of NudC family proteins**

Although the crystal structure of the hNudC CS domain contains five copies in the asymmetric unit, there is no indication that these molecules form specific oligomeric structures in solution, as is the case, for example, for Hsp20/α-crystallin proteins. Moreover, the CS domain of hNudC and the hNudC156–331 variant, which includes the C-terminal α-helix, are both distinctly monomeric in solution, as determined by gel filtration and as judged by NMR line widths (data not shown). In contrast, full-length hNudC migrates on gel filtration with an apparent molecular mass of ~140 kDa (data not shown), suggesting that it forms an oligomer. In view of the putative coiled coil within the N-terminal fragment of the mammalian NudC sequences, we wondered if this motif was responsible for protein oligomerization. We expressed the fragment comprising residues 1–141 and, using gel filtration, determined that it had an apparent molecular mass of ~62 kDa (Supplementary Fig. 2a). Given that coiled coils migrate faster and typically show near double the actual molecular mass, our result was consistent with NudC1–141 forming a homodimer. To evaluate if the fragment contains a coiled-coil structure, we used circular dichroism (CD) spectropolarimetry and analyzed the ratio of ellipticities at 222 nm/208 nm. We determined that the 1–141 fragment contains a stretch of

![Fig. 5. The principal crystal contacts in the NudCL2 structure showing the assembly of homodimers into a filament via a conserved hydrophobic surface. The side chains of Trp113, Phe127, Phe134, and Phe136 are shown in detail, but are not labeled.](image-url)
approximately 50 amino acids that form a coiled-coil structure, with the remainder forming a random coil (Supplementary Fig. 2b). Theoretical coiled-coil prediction suggests that the sequence between residue 50 and residue 135 has a propensity to form a coiled coil, but with discontinuity at Pro102. We conclude that NudC is a dimer, with the coiled-coil motif (most likely parallel with and encompassing amino acids 50–100) mediating dimerization.

We also evaluated the molecular masses of hNudCL and mNudCL2 in solution using gel filtration. Both proteins behaved as dimers (data not shown). Given sequence similarities between the two proteins, as well as structure prediction, we hypothesize that dimerization in the NudCL subfamily is mediated by its N-terminal coiled-coil motif, in a manner analogous to hNudC. In the case of NudCL2, our data are consistent with the crystal structure and suggest that dimerization occurs through domain swapping.

Chaperone activity of mammalian NudC proteins

The structures of the CS domains in the NudC family bear a distinct similarity to those found in small heat shock chaperones such as p23 and Hsp20, suggesting that NudC proteins may play a similar role in eukaryotic physiology. It should be noted here that some of the CS-domain-containing proteins have been shown to function as Hsp90 cochaperones, but may also show intrinsic chaperone activity independent of Hsp90. Interestingly, experimental evidence supporting both such functions for the NudC family has been published. Specifically, the C. elegans NudC homolog NUD-1 has been shown to exhibit chaperone activity in vitro, preventing the aggregation of citrate synthase and luciferase. Human NudC has been implicated in Hsp90-mediated pathways, resulting in stabilization of Lis1, and was shown to have intrinsic chaperone activity in vitro, preventing aggregation of citrate synthase. Similar observations (i.e., interaction with Hsp90 and Lis1 stabilization) were reported for NudCL2, although it was not established if this paralogue has in vitro chaperone activity. The human NudCL was implicated in the stabilization of the dynein intermediate chain through an unknown mechanism. Finally, the Ar. thaliana homologue of NudC, BOBBER1, was shown to be a heat shock protein by preventing the thermal aggregation of malate dehydrogenase (MDH); it is also required for development and thermotolerance. In view of these results, we decided to reassess the in vitro chaperone activities of all three mammalian NudC family members by monitoring the aggregation of luciferase and citrate synthase using light scattering.

As expected, full-length hNudC clearly displayed chaperone activity and suppressed the aggregation of both luciferase and citrate synthase at ~50% level (Fig. 6). We then took advantage of our knowledge of the structure of hNudC to investigate what structural requirements are necessary for the observed activity. To that end, we tested several hNudC variants, including hNudC1–274, which lacks only the unstructured C-terminal tail; hNudC158–274 (i.e., the isolated CS domain); hNudC1–158, comprising the CS domain and the C-terminal tail; and hNudC1–141, which constitutes the dimerization domain. The hNudC1–274 variant exhibited chaperone activity similar to that of the wild-type protein. In contrast, the isolated hNudC CS domain (hNudC158–274), hNudC158–331, and hNudC1–141 were unable to...
suppress the aggregation of any of the two substrates (Fig. 6; data not shown). Thus, it appears that dimerization, but not the C-terminal conserved tail, is essential for the chaperone activity.

Next, we investigated if analogous intrinsic chaperone activity could be detected for hNudCL and mNudCL2 (Figs. 7 and 8). As expected, hNudCL displayed such activity, resulting in an essentially complete suppression of aggregation for both protein targets using the same molar concentration as hNudC. In contrast, mNudCL2 displayed inconsistent results, suppressing ~70% of luciferase aggregation, but with no impact on the aggregation of citrate synthase. Because of this substrate discrepancy, we decided to use SDS-PAGE as a secondary readout to monitor the aggregation of luciferase and citrate synthase after the incubation of these proteins with target chaperones. This assay allows for the quantification of the suppression of protein precipitation, but not for the detection of soluble aggregates. Addition of mNudCL2 resulted in a small increase in the concentration of citrate synthase in the supernatant compared with the control, while luciferase was found exclusively in the pellet fraction. In contrast, and in agreement with light-scattering data, hNudCL completely suppressed the aggregation of both luciferase and citrate synthase, with both proteins found after incubation exclusively in the supernatant.

Finally, we used a third well-known chaperone substrate, MDH, and monitored the aggregation by SDS-PAGE (Supplementary Fig. 3). As before, hNudCL completely suppressed the aggregation of MDH, resulting in its localization solely to the soluble supernatant fraction. Neither mNudCL2 nor the negative control hNudC suppressed aggregation. True chaperones are capable of recognizing and interacting with a broad range of nonnative misfolded protein, rather than only specific ones. In this regard, hNudC and hNudCL both act consistently as molecular chaperones in suppressing protein aggregation across multiple substrates. Although it could be argued that the ability of NudC
and NudL to suppress protein aggregation \textit{in vitro} may be due to nonspecific interactions with misfolded substrates, we find this unlikely, as several laboratories now have reported chaperone activity for quite a few different NudC homologs using various chaperone assays with a variety of misfolded substrates.\textsuperscript{40,44,75} These assays are well-established for classifying small heat shock chaperones.\textsuperscript{80–82} The mNudCL2 protein, however, behaves differently, exhibiting only some activity in the luciferase assay; even then, the results were not reproducible across multiple substrate readouts.

Many proteins act as chaperones in suppressing the protein aggregation of misfolded substrates, but also perform other functions. For example, calreticulin, torsinA, and Hsp90 are involved in nuclear export, maintenance of the nuclear envelope cytoskeletal structure, and cargo loading of dynein, respectively, but all have chaperone-like activities.\textsuperscript{83–87} The physiological role of NudC chaperone activity has yet to be elucidated; prior studies have suggested a possible role in protein stabilization, as the absence of NudCL or NudC results in dynein light chain aggregation or lower levels of NudF, respectively.\textsuperscript{18,25} Thus, it is possible that NudC and NudCL might be interacting with certain members of the Lis1/dynein complex for stabilization. Regardless, future studies are needed to confirm the role of NudC chaperone activity as it relates to its normal cellular function.

\textbf{NudC proteins do not interact with Lis1 \textit{in vitro}}

CS domains appear to occur predominantly in proteins with chaperone or cochaperone activities, or in proteins that recruit Hsp90 to regulate macromolecular assemblies. It is therefore surprising that the mammalian NudC proteins have been implicated in direct interactions with Lis1 (a WD40 family member), which has no relationship to any chaperone.\textsuperscript{19,39,41} Given this apparent inconsistency, we decided to reevaluate if such binary interactions
can be observed in vitro. We used bacterially expressed NudC proteins and sf9-expressed human Lis1, both of which are folded correctly, as shown by biophysical and crystallographic studies. As a positive control, we used recombinant Nde1, which is well-documented to bind Lis1 with a dissociation constant \((K_d)\) of \(\sim 0.3\) μM. In isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments, we were easily able to reproduce the Lis1/Nde1 interactions, while none of the three NudC proteins appeared to interact with Lis1, as inferred from lack of enthalpy change (Fig. 9a). Because ITC experiments detect only enthalpy changes and since entropy-driven effects may be overlooked, we also conducted canonical pull-down experiments. They confirmed that NudC proteins do not form binary complexes with Lis1 (Fig. 9b).

**Concluding Remarks**

The biological importance of the NudC family of proteins is undisputed. The high level of amino acid conservation in each of the three subfamilies and the ubiquitous presence of the proteins in all tissues and cells examined both during development and in adult life are all consistent with a critical function that these proteins play in cell physiology, most probably in mitotic cell division and proliferation. However, the precise mechanism by which these proteins function is not known. Two hypotheses were particularly intriguing. First, the genetic link to the fungal NudC and therefore to other proteins of the Nud family strongly suggested a functional coupling to dynein regulation. This has inspired a number of investigators to look at the direct interactions of NudC with Lis1. Second, the expected similarity of the NudC globular domain to the CS domain of p23 and Hsp20 suggested an alternative role for NudC family members as chaperones and/or cochaperones. In this article, we explored both these possibilities through an analysis of the structural features of NudC itself, NudCL, and NudCL2; their ability to bind Lis1 in vitro; and their performance in in vitro chaperone assays.

First, it is important to note that both NudC and NudCL share the overall molecular architecture. They are homodimeric proteins with a modular structure: a coiled-coil motif stretching over a fragment \(\sim 50–70\) residues long in the N-terminal.

**Fig. 9.** The NudC proteins do not form binary complexes with Lis1. (a) Raw calorimetric titration data for the three NudC proteins titrated against Lis1 (see Materials and Methods) showing no enthalpy change. (b) Positive control showing the titration curve of Lis1 against Nde1, a known partner of Lis1. An ITC profile of the interaction of Nde1 with Lis1 is shown in the upper panel, and individual dissipated heats plotted against the molar ratio of interacting proteins are shown in the lower panel. The data were fitted with a “one-set-of-sites model” shown as a continuous line on the lower panel. The best fit resulted in \(K_d = 6.4 \times 10^5\) M\(^{-1}\), \(n = 0.83\), and \(\Delta H = -9.5\) kcal/mol dimer. (c) Pull-down experiments showing a binary interaction of Lis1 with Nde1 (see Materials and Methods) and no interaction with any of the NudC proteins. I, input; U, unbound (i.e., flow-through); B, bound.
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section constitutes the dimerization module, followed by a flexible linker, a CS domain, and, finally, two highly conserved α-helices separated by a short loop, which do not seem to interact with the CS domain. This conserved architecture of the two proteins suggests possible functional redundancy, although amino acid sequence identity between the two is relatively low. It is important to realize that these molecules are obligate dimers, and biological function may well be dependent on dimerization. Thus, studies of isolated fragments, such as CS domains alone, are prone to misinterpretation if the oligomeric structure is not taken into account. A particularly intriguing feature of both NudC and NudCL is the C-terminal α-helical element. An extremely high amino acid sequence conservation of this fragment suggests that this is a very specific targeting module that interacts with an as yet unidentified protein. There are other examples of similar architecture where a stable helix (not otherwise associated with a tertiary domain) is essential for the formation of a binary complex.

One such example is the human Rho GTPase guanine dissociation inhibitor (Rho GDI), which contains a globular domain and two flexible α-helices (which do not interact with the former but attain an ordered structure only in complex with the target Rho GTPase). However, it is possible that this element can only function within a homodimeric ensemble.

The NudCL2 protein is a good example of the danger of misinterpretations based on sequence analysis alone. While the sequence suggests that this protein is similar to NudC/NudCL, albeit without the N-terminal dimerization module and the linker, the crystal structure reveals a different story: the protein is also an obligate dimer, but dimerization occurs due to domain swapping involving the last β-strand and the C-terminal helix, rather than an element extraneous to the CS domain. Again, this architecture must be taken into account when one designs mutants and deletion variants for functional studies. For example, dissecting NudCL2 into fragments to assess their binding abilities most likely destroys the protein’s integrity and results in unfolded denatured fragments.

The crystal structures cannot reveal precise cellular functions, but can provide useful hints. The presence of CS domains in all NudC homologues is consistent with a chaperone or co-chaperone role. Such domains, while ubiquitous, have not been shown to be involved in any signaling events via specific protein–protein interactions.

Intrinsic in vitro chaperone activity has been reported for the C. elegans NudC homologue and for the human NudC, and chaperone or co-chaperone functions have been suggested for both NudCL and NudCL2. We were able to confirm that both NudC and NudCL, but not NudCL2, are able to suppress the aggregation of a range of protein targets in vitro, and we find that the chaperone activities are dependent on the dimeric architecture of these proteins, but not on the presence of the highly conserved C-terminal fragment. It is therefore surprising that an L279P mutant of hNudC, where the mutation is located within the C-terminal fragment, was recently reported to lower the chaperone activity.

One possible explanation is that the mutated C-terminus somehow interferes with the remainder of the protein. It is also important to note that the dimeric structures of NudC and NudCL do not exclude the possibility that these proteins may interact with Hsp90 via the CS domain and/or C-terminal helices.

In contrast, the NudCL2 protein presents a very different picture. It is unlikely to function in the same way as NudC or NudCL because of its different molecular architecture. However, the conserved solvent-exposed hydrophobic surface that includes Trp113, Phe127, Phe134, and Phe136 contacts strongly suggests potential for protein–protein interactions. Furthermore, it is able to form filaments in the crystal structure, indicating that it may form low-affinity polymers or indeed interact with unstable or unfolded proteins similarly to chaperones.

The molecular mechanisms of intrinsic chaperones are often elusive because identifying functional surfaces is difficult. Given that all three NudC subfamilies may function as chaperones, we wondered if surface sequence conservation might reveal some clues to the mechanism. Interestingly (Fig. 10), we found that each subfamily contains some conserved patches, with one patch in the same topological location in all three subfamilies. This patch contains a single amino acid that is solvent-exposed and completely conserved throughout all NudC proteins: Trp233 (NudC), Trp255 (NudCL), and Trp77 (NudCL2). Further work will be necessary to clarify if this pattern of evolutionary conservation is related to functional properties.

Finally, we tested if the NudC proteins bind Lis1. We took advantage of structural information and used insect-cell-derived Lis1 (known to fold properly) and full-length homodimeric forms of

Fig. 10. Sequence conservation, calculated as described in Materials and Methods, was mapped onto the (a) hNudC, (b) mNudCL, and (c) mNudCL2 (dimer) protein surfaces. The level of conservation is depicted on a color scale from blue (least conserved) to red (most conserved). The mNudCL structure and chain A of mNudCL2 were aligned to hNudC and rotated as indicated. The only fully invariant amino acid, based on sequence alignment and topology, is a tryptophan indicated by an arrow in each case.
recombinant NudC, NudCL, and NudCL2 proteins. Using both ITC and pull-down assays, we show conclusively that no binary complexes are formed by any of these proteins with Lis1. We also tested if NudC interacts with the Lis1/NDel1 complex and obtained equally negative data (data not shown). The original observation of NudC/Lis1 interaction was based on a yeast two-hybrid screen and a pull-down assay using a glutathione S-transferase (GST)/NudC fusion as bait and rat Nb2T cell extracts. It was further shown that NudC and Lis1 colocalize and can be detected using confocal microscopy. Similarly, the fungal (Aspergillus) NudF protein was identified as a NudC interactor through the yeast two-hybrid system. None of these studies involved a rigorous biophysical assay of binary interaction using recombinant proteins that were shown to be properly folded. It is possible that one and more of the NudC family members associate in the cell with larger complexes containing Lis1, or that the interaction is mediated by an as yet uncharacterized protein; however, based on our experimental data, direct binding is very unlikely.

Structural characterization of the NudC family will help in further elucidating their cellular functions.

Materials and Methods

Clones, protein expression, and purification

The human NudC clone was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. The expression vectors were constructed using Gateway® (Invitrogen) technology and two templates [i.e., pDESTHisMBP, containing a His6-tagged maltose binding protein (MBP), and pDEST15, containing GST as the N-terminal fusion tag]. The fusion tag was maltose binding protein (MBP), and pDEST15, containing GST as the N-terminal fusion tag. The fusion tag was kindly provided by the Joint Center for Structural Genomics. Human NudC and Lis1 were amplified by reverse-transcribed total human reference RNA (Clontech) with an as yet uncharacterized protein; however, based on our experimental data, direct binding is very unlikely.
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Surface sequence conservation

Surface sequence conservation was analyzed using Consurf. Homologues were automatically chosen from the UniRef90 database using default parameters and aligned with the MAFFT-L-INS-i algorithm.

Nuclear magnetic resonance

To produce 15N-labeled samples, we expressed the respective variants in minimal media, with (15NH4)2SO4 as the sole source of nitrogen. The media were enhanced by the addition of labeled BioExpress (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories). Samples were purified using the procedure described above for wild-type proteins. NMR spectra for various CS domain constructs were collected using a Varian Inova 500-MHz spectrometer at 25 °C. The NMR samples containing 15N-labeled hNudC fragments 143–274, 158–274, and 162–274 were prepared in Tris buffer (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl. The HSQC and 15N{1H} heteronuclear NOE experiments for hNudC158–331 were also collected using a Bruker Avance III 800-MHz spectrometer at 25 °C. For these experiments, 1 mM 15N-labeled protein sample was prepared in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and 50 mM NaCl.

Analytical gel filtration

Analytical gel filtration was carried out using Superdex 7510/300 GL (GE Healthcare) to determine the size and molecular mass of NudC proteins. By comparing its elution volume with those of known protein standards, we determined the molecular mass of NudC. The standard proteins used for size determination include albumin (molecular mass, 67 kDa), ovalbumin (chicken; molecular mass, 44 kDa), chymotrypsinogen A (molecular mass, 25 kDa), and ribonuclease A (molecular mass, 13.7 kDa).

CD spectropolarimetry

CD spectra were recorded on an AVIV Model 215 CD spectropolarimeter (AVIV Instruments, Lakewood, NJ) equipped with thermoelectric temperature control. Data were recorded from 190 nm to 260 nm in Tris buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 5 mM mercaptoethanol.

Chaperone assays

Citrate synthase and MDH were obtained from Sigma. Luciferase was purchased from Promega. Measurement of citrate synthase and luciferase aggregation was performed as described previously. Briefly, citrate synthase or luciferase was heated for 20 min in the presence and in the absence of full-length NudC or its constructs, and light scattering was measured with excitation and emission at 370 nm with a 2.5-nm slit width on a PerkinElmer LS55 spectrophotometer. The aggregation of luciferase, citrate synthase, and MDH was detected by SDS-PAGE, followed by Coomassie staining, as previously reported by incubating luciferase, citrate synthase, or MDH for 1 h in the presence and in the absence of NudC1, NudC2, or the negative control NudC158–331. Reactions were then spun down in a microcentrifuge at 13,000 rpm to separate the insoluble pellet from the soluble fraction. The pellet was then resuspended in a volume equal to the supernatant, and proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie staining.

Pull-down experiments

The three NudC family proteins and Ndel158–167 were each dialyzed against a solution containing 0.1 M NaHCO3 and 0.5 M NaCl, and were covalently linked to CNBr-activated Sepharose (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions using 5 mg of protein per milliliter of Sepharose. Lis1 was dialyzed against the buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 5 mM mercaptoethanol, and diluted with the same buffer to a final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml. Lis1 (250 μl) was added to 50 μl of Sepharose with covalently immobilized NudC proteins or Ndel1 and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Unbound fraction was recovered, and beads were washed three times and boiled in sample buffer to release bound Lis1. Bound and unbound fractions were analyzed on Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gels.

Isothermal titration calorimetry

ITC was performed at 25 °C using a Microcal ITC-200 calorimeter (MicroCal, Northampton, MA). Protein samples were dialyzed against a buffer containing 20 mM Tris, 200 mM NaCl, and 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol prior to the experiment. Contents of the sample cell were stirred continuously at 300 rpm during the experiment. A typical titration of Lis1 involved 18–20 injections of Ndel1 or one injection of the NudC family proteins (0.3–0.9 mM, 2 μl, 3-min intervals) into a sample cell containing 0.2 ml of Lis1 (70–110 μM). The baseline-corrected data were analyzed with Microcal Origin 5.0 software to determine the enthalpy (ΔH), entropy (S), association constant (Kd), and stoichiometry of binding (N) by fitting to the association model for a single set of identical sites.

Accession numbers

The atomic coordinates and structure factors for the hNudC CS domain structure have been deposited under PDB accession number 3QOR.

Supplementary materials related to this article can be found online at doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2011.04.018

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (NS036267) to Z.S.D. We thank Ms. Natalya Olekhnovich for technical assistance and Dr. Jeff Elena for assistance with
NMR experiments. The use of Advanced Photon Source was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under contract no. W-31-109-Eng-38. Supporting institutions of SER-CAT may be found at http://www.ser-cat.org/members.html.

References

The Structure of NudC-Family Proteins


